## Session 5: Associations

## Li (Sherlly) Xie

http://www.nemoursresearch.org/open/StatClass/February2013/

## Session 5 Flow

1. Bivariate data visualization

Cross-Tab
Stacked bar plots
Box plot
Scatterplot
2. Correlation

Correlation coefficient (r)
Coefficient of determination (R-squared) Simple, Multiple, Adjusted R-squared

## Usual Bivariate Graphic Displays

| Variable Pairs | Display |
| :--- | :--- |
| Categorical, Categorical | Crosstabs; <br> stacked/clustered/stacked <br> and clustered bar graph |
| Categorical, Quantitative | Box plot |
| Quantitative, Quantitative | Scatterplot |

## Stacked Clustered Bar Graph

Showing the relationship between 2 categorical variables (e.g. gender vs race, FHDM vs gender, FHDM vs race, etc)

Display the SAME information that could be displayed using a cross-tab

Race vs Gender, Clustered (by race) Bar Plot


## Box Plots

Excel macro download link:

http://www.vertex42.com/Files/download/excel.php?file=box-plot.xls

## Shows distribution of continuous variable by categories-

## Years of friendship by personality type;

\# times being your job reference by whether you remember his/her phone \#


## Median, IQR, Fences

Example: 21 data points for the age variable:
$1,1,1,2,3,4,8,5,3,2,8,7,5,8,3,7,5,4,7,11,18$
Find median: sort from smallest to largest:
$1,1,1,2,2,3,3,3,4,4,5,5,5,7,7,7,8,8,8,11,18$
median is the number in middle: 5
find first quartile (25th percentile): 3
find third quartile (75th percentile): 7
IQR=7-3=4
Inner fence: 1.5 times IQR from first or third quartile
Outer fence: 1.5 times IQR from inner fences (or, equivalently, 3 times IQR from first or third quartile)

## Scatterplot

A scatterplot reveals relationships or association between two variables.
ASSUMPTION OF SCATTERPLOT: all data points weigh the same

## Type \& Strength of Associations;



# r, R, r-squared, R-squared, adjusted R-squared 

r (Correlation Coefficient, Pearson's r): Measures the strength of LINEAR association between two CONTINUOUS variables.
$R$ (Coefficient of determination): A measure of the proportion of variance in one variable accounted for by the variance(s) in one (or more) explanatory variable(s)

# r-squared, R-squared, adjusted R-squared 

$r$-squared (Coefficient of Simple Determination): The percent of the variance in the dependent variable that can be explained by one independent variable.
R-squared (Coefficient of Multiple Determination): The percent of the variance in the dependent variable that is explained by all of the independent variables taken together.
R-Squared Adjusted (Adjusted R-Squared): A version of RSquared that has been adjusted for the number of predictors in the model. R-Squared tends to overestimate the strength of the association especially if the model has more than one independent variable. Adj $R$-squared always less than or equal to BUT NEVER EXCEEDS $R$-squared.

## Rules of Thumb

1. r-squared, R-squared and adjusted R-squared) range from 0 to 1 (percentage of variation in one variable explained by another variable or other variables
2. Pearson's $r$ ranges from -1 to 1 (magnitude and direction of correlation)

0 to < 0.3: weak correlation
0.3 to $<0.5$ : moderate correlation
0.5 to 1: strong correlation
3. Interpretation of $r$-squared's and Pearson's $r$ is DUAL:
(Simple/unadjusted/adjusted) amount of variation in Y explained by THE GROUP of factors ABC IS THE SAME as (simple/unadjusted/adjusted) amount of TOTAL variation in ABC explained by Y.

X is as strongly negatively correlated with Y as Y is with X . *In some studies (e.g.: field, observational), a "practically good/strong" $r$ may be as low as 0.3

## R-squared Applied

If r-squared between \# years of friendship and \# times the person being your job reference is 0.5 , it means

1. $50 \%$ of the VARIATION in \# years of friendship IS explained by \# times the person being your job reference;
2. $50 \%$ of the VARIATION in \# years of friendship IS NOT explained by \# times the person being your job reference;
3. $50 \%$ of the VARIATION in \# times the person being your job reference IS explained by \# years of friendship;
4. $50 \%$ of the VARIATION in \# times the person being your job reference IS NOT explained by \# years of friendship

## r Applied and Conventional Cutoffs

If $r=0.1$ between length of friendship and \# times the person being your job reference, this POSITIVE and WEAK LINEAR correlation coefficient means as length of friendship increases \# times the person being your job reference also increases, but the LINEAR trend is not that strong.
If $r=0.5$, it means they are MODERATELY POSITIVELY linearly correlated.
If $r=-0.9$, it means they are HIGHLY NEGATIVELY LINEARLY CORRELATED--as length of friendship increases \# times the person being your job contact decreases.

